UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: Case No. 21-30589 (MBK)

LTL MANAGEMENT LLC,

Debtor.

. LTL MANAGEMENT, LLC, . Adversary No. 21-03032 (MBK)

Plaintiff,

Clarkson S. Fisher U.S.

V. Courthouse

402 East State Street

THOSE PARTIES LISTED ON . Trenton, NJ 08608

APPENDIX A TO THE

COMPLAINT, ET AL.,

Defendants. . February 14, 2022 9:30 a.m.

.

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL DAY ONE BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL B. KAPLAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Jones Day

> By: GREGORY M. GORDON, ESQ. DANIEL B. PRIETO, ESQ.

> > AMANDA RUSH, ESQ.

2727 North Harwood Street, Suite 500

Dallas, TX 75201

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher &

Flom LLP and Affiliates By: ALLISON M. BROWN, ESQ.

One Manhattan West

New York, NY 10001-8602

Audio Operator: Wendy Romero

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjCourt@jjCourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

For the Debtor:

For the Official Committee of Talc Claimants 1:

Brown Rudnik, LLP By: JEFF JONAS, ESQ. 7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Genova Burns, LLC BY: DANIEL M. STOLZ, ESQ. 110 Allen Road, Suite 304 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 2

For the Official Committee of Talc Claimants 2:

Sherman Silverstein
By: ARTHUR ABRAMOWITZ, ESQ.
East Gate Corporate Center
308 Harper Drive, Suite 200
Moorestown, NJ 08057

Cooley, LLP By: IAN SHAPIRO, ESQ. 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001

Bailey & Glasser, LLP By: BRIAN GLASSER, ESQ. 105 Thomas Jefferson Street NW

Suite 540 Washington, DC 20007

For Johnson & Johnson:

White & Case LLP
By: JESSICA LAURIA, ESQ.
1221 Avenue of the America
New York, NY 10020

Lowenstein Sandler
By: KENNETH ROSEN, ESQ.
One Lowenstein Drive
Roseland, NJ 07068

Johnson & Johnson
By: ERIK HAAS, ESQ.
ANDREW WHITE, ESQ.
1 Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, New Jersey, 08933

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

For the U.S. Trustee:

U.S. Department of Justice By: LAUREN BIELSKIE, ESQ. LINDA RICHENDERFER, ESQ.

One Newark Center, Suite 2100

3

Newark, NJ 07102

For Arnold & Itkin, LLP: Pachulski Stang Ziehl Young & Jones,

РC

By: LAURA DAVIS JONES, ESQ.

919 Market Street

16th Floor, PO Box 8705 Wilmington, DE 19899

Pachulski Stang Ziehl Young & Jones,

PC

By: JOHN MORRIS, ESQ.

780 Third Avenue

34th Floor

New York, NY 10017

For DeSanto Canadian Class Action Plaintiffs:

Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC

By: ALLEN JOSEPH UNDERWOOD, II, ESQ.

570 Broad Street, Suite 1201

Newark, NJ 07102

For Aylstock, Witkin, Kreiss & Overholtz, PLLC:

Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern, LLP

By: ROBERT J. PFISTER, ESQ.

1801 Century Park East, 26th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067

* * * * *

Wuesthoff - Cross/Brown 178 $1 \parallel$ tune the number, but it's not going to make a difference. 2 just so massive. 3 Did you do any education and any learning about whether or 4 not there was a potential for a verdict like Ingham to happen 5 again? 6 Absolutely. In fact, some of that was shared with us. 7 one case, the Johnson case, they asked for \$8 billion for one plaintiff, \$8 billion for one plaintiff. This was 4.7 for 22. And did you consider that information from Mr. Kim and Mr. 9 10 White and the informational brief as you evaluated the size of 11 verdicts that Old JJCI was --This was all talked about. This was all looked at. 12 13 And then subsequent to that, I've learned that about the Forrest and Giese, another huge request. 15 So was Ingham an outlier? Yes, perhaps, but it 16 certainly can happen again. And we've had at least three other requests since Ingham of just massive, massive judgments. 18 And so what does this tell you? When you learn that plaintiffs after Ingham are asking for \$8 billion for one 20 plaintiff, what does that tell you about the ability to resolve these claims in the court system? 21 22 It tells you it's just -- one, it's very unpredictable what's going to happen. It's very, very volatile. And again, it's going to take a long time, and I don't know that -- we're

25 \parallel concerned, and one reason for the Chapter 11 is financially,

179

- it could just be devastating.
- 2 Let's talk a little bit about costs. Was some of your learning relating to how much it costs to defend these cases?
- 4 Yes. So this --Α

16 case.

17

20

21

- 5 Tell us what you learned.
- This was also a very big discovery. The defense costs, 6 we're spending \$20 million to \$30 million a month just to defend these cases. That's a very expensive burn rate and 9 we're burning that money, and that's money that could go to 10 claimants.
- Did you learn anything about how much it costs to try one 11 12 of these cases?
- 13 Yes. To try each case costs a range of \$2 million to \$5 14∥ million per case to try. Two is, I'm told, the lower side. 15 It's more towards the \$3 million to \$5 million to try each

And again there, simple math, 38,000 cases, times 18 \$ \$2 million a case to \$5 million a case, you get a very, very 19∥ big number, ranging from 76 to almost \$200 billion just to try the cases, excluding the judgments, which as we just discussed could be in the multi-multi-billions of dollars as well.

- 22 Did you evaluate before you made your decision to approve a Chapter 11 filing whether it was possible to stay the course and try these cases, despite the cost and despite the --
- 25 This is probably one of the biggest things that led

180

us to the decision. Financials aside, through the last -- $2 \parallel$ since two thousand, I believe, thirteen, 49 cases have been tried. There's 38,000 to go. And there you go.

3

4

6

7

9

11

12

16

17

2.0

21

22

25

And at a rate of 10 to 12 cases a year, to solve $5 \parallel 38,000$ cases would take nearly 4,000 years. Again, it's -it's straight math. And it's very easy math to do.

Now I challenged that, frankly, at the board meeting and prior to the board meeting. Can't we do more than ten a year? And I'm told, and I believe it's very challenging to do more than ten a year because of witnesses, because of various things that you need, expert testimony and such.

But just if you could take it to 20 -- and I'm told 13∥ you can't -- but if you could take it to 20, again, it's almost 2000 years. So it just struck us as so unfair that of the 49 cases to date many, frankly, don't get anything because our talc is, we believe, safe.

There's these lottery-sized judgements that a select few get, yet thousands of cases will never, ever get tried. It 19 just -- it just can't. It's impossible.

So if you put all those things together, the status quo, it just doesn't make sense. It just doesn't -- it's not good for claimants. It's not good financially. It's just a huge waste of resources. It's just -- so that's why we voted for the bankruptcy.

At some point you were asked to make a decision on whether

- or not Chapter 11 made sense.
- 2 A Yeah.
- 3 Q Did you feel like you would have been kept in the dark
- 4 about information about the talc litigation before you had to
- 5 make that decision?
- 6 A No. Like I said, we had hours and hours of briefings and
- 7 material to read, the first day declaration, the information
- 8 brief, lots of information. We -- we didn't feel any rush to
- 9 make a decision.
- In fact, at the board meeting, nothing new really was
- 11 presented. It was a recap of information we had all gotten
- 12 that previous week.
- 13 Q Do you think it would be fair to suggest that you didn't
- 14 know anything and you didn't do anything before you decided
- 15 that Chapter 11 was the best and only course?
- 16 A Please say it again, Ali, please.
- 17 Q Well, would it be fair to suggest that you didn't know
- 18 anything at the time you made this decision?
- 19 A I'm sorry. Didn't know anything?
- 20 Q Would it be fair for someone to say you weren't educated,
- 21 you weren't educated enough?
- 22 A Oh, gosh, no. We were very educated. And we didn't feel
- 23 a need, again, for anymore information. It was very, very
- 24 clear. And again, there had been people working on this, very
- 25 knowledgeable people, like John Kim has been working with talc

182

for years and years, Andrew White, for years.

1

2

3

5

6

14

25

So the information, the facts, the background was very, very thorough. It was provided to us in a very easy way to understand and read. Yeah.

- Q At the board meeting did you consider alternatives to a Chapter 11 filing?
- The main alternative was stay the course. And as I've said, we said, no, that just doesn't -- that's not tenable. We talked about insurance, not long, because, even the -- the current insurance policies that JJCI has, those insurers are not owning up to any of that, let alone signing up to some of the liability and exposure we just talked about. So -- so that -- that option is out.
 - No, unfortunately we -- bankruptcy was the option. I say unfortunately. That was -- that was the option to pursue.
- 16 Q Did you take that decision seriously?
- 17 A Oh my gosh, yes. Huge. Yes, very, very serious.
- Q Do you feel like other people at other companies had already made that decision and you were just coming in to
- 20 rubber stamp it?
- A No. No. We -- the way it was presented to us, the facts and the background, it was as -- as if no decisions have been made at all, here's the evidence, here's the data, and now vote.
 - Q Do you feel like you had the ability to say no, if you

1 thought the answer was no?

- 2 A Of course, yes. I say, of course. I don't mean to be
- β flip with that. Absolutely felt that. And that's how J&J is.
- $4 \parallel$ J&J will do the right thing. That's our credo. And I totally
- 5 believe this, and other committee members totally believe this
- 6 is the right thing to do.
- 7 Q Did you make the decision to approve a Chapter 11 filing
- 8 to hurt or harm claimants?
- 9 A Absolutely not. The opposite.
- 10 Q Did you make the decision to approve a Chapter 11 filing
- 11 to protect Johnson & Johnson?
- 12 A No.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} Why did you do it?
- 14 A We did it so we could as equitably and efficiently as
- 15 possible resolve all the current and future claims.
- 16 Q Did you just not see another option?
- 17 A We did not see another option.
- 18∥Q You mentioned earlier today information about the safety
- 19 of talc and your belief about the safety of talc. Tell us what
- 20 you meant by that.
- 21 A You know, I'm not a scientist, admittedly, but if you look
- 22 \parallel at all the studies that have been done by people outside of
- 23 J&J, by organizations outside of J&J, it all points to the
- 24 safety of our talc.
- 25 And then I know the rigor of our internal quality

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

183

	Wuesthoff - Redirect/Jonas 184
1	control being in the supply chain, super rigorous, lots of
2	testing. No, we are very, very confident that our talc does
3	not contain asbestos and it's safe.
4	Q If there was any doubt in your mind, would you have taken
5	the position at LTL?
6	A No. Absolutely not.
7	Q Final question, Mr. Wuesthoff. You are the president of
8	LTL charged with resolving these claims. What is the next step
9	for you and your company?
10	A We're ready to go. I mean, we're ready to mediate. We've
11	got a funding agreement that is strong. It's seeded with
12	\$2 billion if if approved by the bankruptcy court. We're
13	ready to go. We're just waiting.
14	And in the meantime, we're burning a lot of money,
15	just just being wasted.
16	Q I thank you very much for your time, Mr. Wuesthoff.
17	Your Honor, I have no further questions. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
19	Redirect.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. JONAS:
22	Q Can you see that on your screen, Mr. Wuesthoff, 161?
23	A Again, Mr. Jonas.
24	Q Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. I don't have a hard copy
25	right now.